

### **Team Debate**

**PROPOSITION:** Be it resolved that, 'Globalization is a positive development for the world community'

#### **FORMAT**

The 'Affirmative' team is in favour of the proposition. The 'Negative' team is against the stated proposition. A round of team debate consists of eight speeches. The first four speeches are called constructive speeches, because the teams are perceived as laying out their most important arguments during these speeches. The last four speeches are called rebuttals, because the teams are expected to extend and apply arguments that have already been made, rather than make new arguments.

The following is a table of the eight speeches and their time limits:

<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Speech</b>	<b>Time</b>
1	Affirmative Constructive	8 min
2	Negative Constructive	8 min
3	Affirmative Constructive	8 min
4	Negative Constructive	8 min
5	Negative Rebuttal	4 min
6	Affirmative Rebuttal	4 min
7	Negative Rebuttal	4 min
8	Affirmative Rebuttal	4 min

## Evaluation

Criteria	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Mark
<b>Knowledge and Understanding</b>	Support for position is limited and lacks evidence	Support for position is incomplete and offers limited evidence	Support for position is reasoned and clearly presented based on evidence	Support for position is well reasoned and persuasively presented based on evidence	/10
<b>Thinking/ Inquiry</b>	Arguments were overlapping, repetitive and contradictory	Presents arguments with minimal overlap and repetition	Arguments are varied and complimentary	Arguments build on those that came earlier	/5
<b>Communication</b>	Position is poorly stated	Position is recognized but clarified only through prompting	Position is clearly stated	Position is clearly stated and fully defined	/5
	Defends arguments with all emotion and no facts	Defends arguments with high emotion and little facts	Defends arguments with composure and an appropriate number of facts	Defends arguments with a professional demeanor and a wide variety of facts	/5
<b>Application</b>	Other points of view are ignored	Opposing views are acknowledged but not effectively critiqued	Opposing views are acknowledged and opponents are treated with respect	Opposing views are effectively critiqued and opponents are treated with respect	/5